RUBRIC for ORAL PRESENTATION EVALUATION

Dr. Nikos J. Mourtos

Project Title:		
Student Names:		

1. Technical Content: 10 (scale) \times 6 = _____ / 60 points Accurate technical discussion, appropriate use of theory and results.

Score	Performance Criterion		
10	Included all pertinent technical info AND all equations, graphs, tables presented were correct.		
7 – 9	Included most of the pertinent technical info (left out 1 or 2 pieces) + all of it was correct OR		
	included all pertinent technical info + most of it was correct (a few errors).		
5 – 6	Included some of the pertinent technical info (left out several important pieces) + all of it was		
	correct OR included all pertinent technical info but only some of it was correct (several errors).		
1 – 4	Most of the pertinent technical info was missing OR most of what was presented was incorrect .		
0	All pertinent technical info was missing OR everything presented was incorrect.		

Comments:

2. Organization: / 10 points

Presentation easy to follow, appropriate emphasis on introduction, methodology, results, conclusions; good team member sequencing.

Score	Performance Criterion		
10	Presentation easy to follow (logical flow), appropriate emphasis on introduction, methodology,		
	results and conclusions; good team member sequencing.		
7 – 9	One of the following was true: (a) Presentation was not easy to follow OR (b) there was no		
	introduction OR (c) methodology was unclear OR (d) results were unclear OR (e) conclusions		
	were not clearly stated OR (f) rough team member sequencing.		
5 – 6			
	introduction, (c) methodology was unclear, (d) results were unclear, (e) conclusions were not		
	clearly stated, (f) rough team member sequencing.		
1 – 4	Four or five of the following were true: (a) Presentation was not easy to follow, (b) there was no		
	introduction, (c) methodology was unclear, (d) results were unclear, (e) conclusions were not		
	clearly stated, (f) rough team member sequencing.		
0	All of the following were true: (a) Presentation was not easy to follow AND (b) there was no		
	introduction AND (c) methodology was unclear AND (d) results were unclear AND (e)		
	conclusions were not clearly stated AND (f) rough team member sequencing.		

Comments:

3. Presentation Skills: _____/ 10 points

Briefing skills, staying within schedule, appropriate use of visual aids, good eye contact with audience while speaking.

Score	Performance Criterion	
10	Excellent briefing skills (ability to summarize key points in a few words), stayed within schedule,	

	used visual aids appropriately, good eye contact with audience while speaking.		
7 – 9	One of the following was true: (a) Briefing skills OK but not great OR (b) went overtime OR (c)		
	used visual aids inappropriately OR (d) did not maintain eye contact with audience while speaking.		
5 – 6	<i>Two</i> of the following were true: (a) Briefing skills OK but not great, (b) went overtime, (c) used		
	visual aids inappropriately, (d) did not maintain eye contact with audience while speaking.		
1 - 4	Three of the following were true: (a) Poor briefing skills, (b) went overtime, (c) used visual aids		
	inappropriately, (d) did not maintain eye contact with audience while speaking.		
0	All of the following were true: (a) Poor briefing skills AND (b) went overtime AND (c) used visual		
	aids inappropriately AND (d) no eye contact with audience while speaking.		

Comments:

4.	Verbal	Communication:	/ 10	points
т.	v Ci Dai	Communication.	/ 10	DOILLES

Speaking clearly enough and slowly enough, correct grammar, appropriate vocabulary.

Score	Performance Criterion		
10	Spoke clearly enough + slowly enough, used correct grammar + appropriate vocabulary.		
7 – 9	One of the following was true: (a) Mumbled OR (b) spoke too fast OR (c) used incorrect grammar		
	OR (d) used inappropriate vocabulary (ex. informations, aircrafts, vorticy, etc.)		
5 – 6	<i>Two</i> of the following were true: (a) Mumbled, (b) spoke too fast, (c) used incorrect grammar,		
	(d) used inappropriate vocabulary.		
1 – 4	<i>Three</i> of the following were true: (a) Mumbled, (b) spoke too fast, (c) used incorrect grammar,		
	(d) used inappropriate vocabulary.		
0	All of the following were true: (a) Mumbled AND (b) spoke too fast AND (c) used incorrect		
	grammar AND (d) used inappropriate vocabulary.		

Comments:

5. Overall Impression: _____ / **10 points** How does the judge feel about the overall quality of the project?

Score	Performance Criterion	
10	Excellent project quality, excellent presentation	
7 – 9	Excellent project quality but lacked in presentation OR very good project quality, excellent	
	presentation.	
5 – 6	Adequate project quality, good presentation OR good project quality, adequate presentation.	
1 – 4	Substandard project quality AND presentation.	
0	Unacceptable project quality AND presentation.	

Comments:

00	points
l) ()